# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4254-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1589

Subject: Contracts and Contractors; Counties

Type: Original

Date: February 9, 2010

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding certain county purchases.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue			
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4254-01 Bill No. HB 1589 Page 2 of 4 February 9, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **State Tax Commission** assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

In a response to a similar proposal from 2009 (SB 256), officials from **St. Louis County** and **Clinton County** assumed there will be no fiscal impact to their organizations.

In a response to a similar proposal from 2009 (SB 256), officials from **Cass County** assumed this proposal is raising the dollar amount before a bid must be noticed to the public. Cass County assumed their would be some cost savings since it may reduce the number of bid notices for purchases under \$6000.

In a response to a similar proposal from 2009 (SB 256), officials from **Platte County** assumed there will be a net cost savings to their county, however, the amount is not determinable at this time.

The following counties did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact: **Bates**, **Carroll**, **DeKalb**, **Nodaway and Texas**.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

KG:LR:OD

L.R. No. 4254-01 Bill No. HB 1589 Page 4 of 4 February 9, 2010

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

State Tax Commission St. Louis County Clinton County Cass County Platte County

## **NOT RESPONDING**

Bates Carroll DeKalb Nodaway Texas

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 9, 2010